arXiv:1608.05944v1 [math.DG] 21 Aug 2016

NEW EXAMPLES OF MAXIMAL SURFACES IN LORENTZ-MINKOWSKI SPACE

RAFAEL LÓPEZ AND SEHER KAYA

Abstract. We use the Björling problem in Lorentz-Minkowski space to obtain explicit parametrizations of maximal surfaces containing a circle and a helix. We investigate the Weierstrass representation of these surfaces.

1. Introduction

In three-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space $\mathbb{L}^3$, the Björling problem consists of finding a maximal surface (spacelike surface with zero mean curvature) containing a given real analytic curve $\alpha : I \to \mathbb{L}^3$ and a prescribed unit normal vector field V along α. Here V is a real analytic unit timelike vector field orthogonal to α' along I. In [1] the authors solve affirmatively the problem. For this, we view the interval I as $I \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and by analyticity, the functions α and V have holomorphic extensions $\alpha(z)$ and $V(z)$ in a simply-connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ that contains $I \times \{0\}$. Then the Björling solution is the surface $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{L}^3$ whose parametrization is

$$X(u,v) = \mathrm{Re}\left(\alpha(z) + i\int_{u_0}^{z} V(w) \times \alpha'(w)\, dw\right) \tag{1}$$

where $u_0 \in I$ is fixed and $z \in \Omega$ ([1]). The surface $X(u,v)$ is unique with the prescribed Björling data α and V, and α being the parameter curve $v = 0$. We point out that α is a spacelike curve because any curve contained in a spacelike surface must be spacelike.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A05, 53A10, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. maximal surface, Björling problem, circle, helix.

The first author has been partially supported by the MINECO/FEDER grant MTM2014-52368-P.

Although the Björling formula (1) only requires a complex integration, there are few examples in the literature of explicit parametrizations of Björling surfaces: see [1]. These surfaces are the Lorentzian counterpart of the known examples of minimal surfaces of Euclidean space [6]. The goal of this paper is to provide new explicit parametrizations of solutions of the Björling problem. Our surfaces appear when the core curve α is a circle or a helix of $\mathbb{L}^3$. The idea that lies behind these examples is the following. Suppose that α is a Frenet curve of $\mathbb{L}^3$, that is, a curve where the Frenet frame $\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}\}$ is formed by an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}^3$. Then the unit vector field $V(t)$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{n}(t)$ and $\mathbf{b}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Our examples appear when the curve α is a circle or a helix and the linear combination that defines $V(t)$ is given by trigonometric polynomials on the variable t. The simple but crucial step is that when the integrand in (1) is formed by trigonometric polynomials, then the integral in (1) can be explicitly obtained. The above idea was developed in Euclidean space by Meeks and Weber in [11]. Indeed, when α is a circle, the Björling surfaces are called bending helicoids [11] and when α is a helix, the surfaces are called helicoidal helicoids [17].

In Lorentzian ambient space, we establish the same program and we follow here the same terminology for maximal surfaces of $\mathbb{L}^3$. However, there are some differences that need to point out. First, there exist circles and helices in $\mathbb{L}^3$ that do not parametrize with trigonometric polynomial but as polynomial functions on the variable t. Exactly in $\mathbb{L}^3$ there are three types of circles depending on the causal character of the rotation axis, namely, the axis is timelike, spacelike or lightlike. The circles whose axis is lightlike are parameterized by polynomials on the variable. Thus our procedure applies only when the axis of the circle and the helix is timelike or spacelike. A second difference is related with the vector field V. For each t, the vector $V(t)$ lies in the plane spanned by $\{\mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{b}(t)\}$ whose induced metric is Lorentzian. Since $V(t)$ is a unit timelike vector, then $V(t)$ belongs to the timelike unit circle determined by $\mathbf{n}(t)$ and $\mathbf{b}(t)$, which is a hyperbola for each plane $\mathrm{span}\{\mathbf{t}(t)\}^{\perp}$. In particular, this implies that $V(t)$ does not take any direction in that plane, in contrast to what happens in the Euclidean case.

The explicit parametrizations of the new maximal surfaces are given in terms of trigonometric functions but they have cumbersome expressions. Exactly the parametrizations are:

(1) The core curve α is a circle.
    (a) The axis is timelike: equation (3).

(b) The axis is spacelike: equations (4) and (5)
(2) The core curve α is a helix.
(a) The axis is timelike: equation (10).
(b) The axis is spacelike: equations (11), (12), (13) and (14).

2. Maximal surfaces in $\mathbb{L}^3$

The Lorentz-Minkowski space is the vector space $\mathbb{R}^3$ endowed with the Lorentzian metric $\langle , \rangle = dx^2 + dy^2 - dz^2$, where (x, y, z) are the canonical coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^3$. A smooth immersion $X : M \to \mathbb{L}^3$ of a (connected) surface M is said to be spacelike if the induced metric ds^2 on M via X is Riemannian. As a consequence of the spacelike condition, M is an orientable surface because a Gauss map N on M is a unit timelike vector field and thus its range lies in one of the two connected components of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2 = \{p \in \mathbb{L}^3 : \langle p, p\rangle = -1\}$. We assume that $N : M \to \mathbb{H}^2_+$ where $\mathbb{H}^2_+ = \{p \in \mathbb{H}^2 : z(p) > 0\}$. A maximal surface is a spacelike immersion $X : M \to \mathbb{L}^3$ with zero mean curvature everywhere and we also say that M is a maximal surface if X is understood.

For a spacelike surface, (M, ds^2) is a Riemann oriented surface and thus M is endowed with a natural conformal structure of a Riemann surface. If, in addition, M is a maximal surface, we suppose that $X : (M, ds^2) \to \mathbb{L}^3$ is a conformal maximal immersion with $X = X(z)$ and $z = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}$ is a conformal parameter. Because X is maximal, the coordinate functions X_k are harmonic and thus dX_k are harmonic 1-forms on M. Let $\phi_k = dX_k + i(*dX_k)$ the holomorphic 1-forms that extend dX_k with respect to the complex structure associated to (M, ds^2). Then we have

$$\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 - \phi_3^2 = 0, \qquad ds^2 = |\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 - |\phi_3|^2.$$

If $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$, the surface is then obtained by $X(p) = X(p_0) + \mathrm{Re}(\int_\gamma \phi)$ for any curve γ connecting p_0 and p. The integral does not depend on the curve γ which is equivalent to $\mathrm{Re}\int_\gamma \phi = 0$ for any closed curve γ in M and we say that ϕ_k have no real periods. Define

$$g = \frac{\phi_3}{\phi_1 - i\phi_2}, \qquad \omega = f dz = \phi_1 - i\phi_2.$$

Then g is a meromorphic function on M and ω a holomorphic 1-form on M. The pair (g,ω) is called the Weierstrass data of the surface and we can write

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1+g^2)\omega, \quad \phi_2 = \frac{1}{2}i(1-g^2)\omega, \quad \phi_3 = g\omega.$$

The Gauss map of X is

$$N = \frac{1}{1-|g|^2}\left(2\mathrm{Re}(g), 2\mathrm{Im}(g), 1+|g|^2\right),$$

and if π is the stereographic projection from $\mathbb{H}^2_+$ into the unit disc $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ from the point $(0,0,-1)$, then $g = \pi \circ N$.

There is a reverse process to obtain maximal surfaces as follows. Consider M a Riemann surface and let $X : M \to \mathbb{L}^3$ be a non-constant harmonic map with $X = X(z)$. If $\phi_k = \partial X_k/\partial z$ $(1 \leq k \leq 3)$ satisfy $\phi_1^2+\phi_2^2-\phi_3^2 = 0$ and $|\phi_1|^2+|\phi_2|^2-|\phi_3|^2 \not\equiv 0$, then $X : M \setminus \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{L}^3$ is a maximal surface where $\mathcal{R}$ is the set of branch points of X, that is, points where X is not an immersion or points where the induced metric from $\mathbb{L}^3$ is degenerate. We say that $X : M \to \mathbb{L}^3$ is a generalized maximal surface [4].

Finally we point out that there exists a duality between maximal surfaces of $\mathbb{L}^3$ and minimal surfaces in Euclidean space $\mathbf{E}^3$ as a metric space in the sense that for each maximal surface of $\mathbb{L}^3$ it is possible to construct a minimal surface in $\mathbf{E}^3$ and *vice versa*. Indeed, if (ϕ_1,ϕ_2,ϕ_3) are the holomorphic 1-forms of a maximal surface M of $\mathbb{L}^3$, then $(\psi_1,\psi_2,\psi_3) = (i\phi_1, i\phi_2, \phi_3)$ are the holomorphic 1-forms of a minimal surface $M^\sharp$ of $\mathbf{E}^3$. If (g,ω) is the Weierstrass data of M, then $(-ig, i\omega)$ is for $M^\sharp$. Reciprocally, if ψ_k are the holomorphic 1-forms of a minimal surface of $\mathbf{E}^3$, then $(-i\psi_1, -i\psi_2, \psi_3)$ are the holomorphic 1-forms of a generalized maximal surface.

3. Björling surfaces based on a circle

In this section we construct Björling surfaces when the core curve α is a circle, in particular, we extend in the Lorentzian ambient the bending helicoids of Meeks and Weber. By a *circle* in $\mathbb{L}^3$ we mean the orbit of a point under a uniparametric group of rotational motions when this orbit is not a straight line. A circle is determined by a point and the axis L of the group of rotational motions.

When the axis is timelike or spacelike axis, a spacelike circle parametrized by the arc-length is a Frenet curve and the Frenet frame is $\mathbf{t}(t) = \alpha'(t)$, $\mathbf{n}(t) = \alpha''(t)/|\alpha''(t)|$

and $\mathbf{b}(t) = \mathbf{t}(t) \times \mathbf{n}(t)$. The causal character of $\mathbf{n}(t)$ and $\mathbf{b}(t)$) depends on the one of $\alpha''(t)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{b}(t)$ is a constant vector field, namely, the direction of the axis. If the axis of the circle is lightlike, then the curve is not a Frenet curve because there is not a canonical Frenet frame given by an orthonormal basis. In this case, $\alpha''(t)$ is a lightlike vector and the Frenet frame is formed by $\alpha'(t)$ and two lightlike vectors: see subsection 3.3.

We distinguish the study according to the axis of the circle. After a change of coordinates we will assume that the axis L of a circle is spanned by $(0,0,1)$, $(1,0,0)$ and $(1,0,1)$.

3.1. **The axis is timelike.** After a homothety of $\mathbb{L}^3$, a spacelike circle with timelike axis $(0,0,1)$ parametrizes as $\alpha(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(t), 0)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The normal and binormal vectors of α are

$$\mathbf{n}(t) = (-\cos(t), -\sin(t), 0), \qquad \mathbf{b}(t) = (0,0,1).$$

Consider $V(t)$ a timelike unit vector field along α. Because $\{\mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{b}(t)\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\operatorname{span}(\alpha'(t))^{\perp}$, then we can write

$$V(t) = \sinh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{n}(t) + \cosh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{b}(t) \tag{2}$$

for some function $\varphi(t)$. The case that φ is a constant function is known, exactly we have:

(1) If $\varphi = 0$, then the Björling surface is a (horizontal) plane of equation $z =$ constant.
(2) If $\varphi(t) = a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$, then the Björling surface is a rotational surface. Indeed, an immediate integration of (1) gives

$$X(u,v) = (\cos(u)(\cosh(a)\sinh(v) + \cosh(v)), \sin(u)(\cosh(a)\sinh(v) + \cosh(v)), v\sinh(a)).$$

In order to see that this surface is rotational, we recall that the uniparametric group of rotations with axis $(0,0,1)$ is

$$G_t = \left\{ \Psi^t(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then we have $\Psi^t(\theta) \cdot X(u,v) = X(u+\theta, v)$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, proving that $X(u,v)$ is rotational. This surface is called an elliptic catenoid (or a catenoid of first king according to [8]).

The bending helicoids of $\mathbb{L}^3$ are obtained when in the expression of $V(t)$ given in (2), we take $\varphi(t) = at$, $a > 0$, and we solve the corresponding Björling solution. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= \sinh(at)\mathbf{n}(t) + \cosh(at)\mathbf{b}(t) \\ &= (-\sinh(at)\cos(t), -\sinh(at)\sin(t), \cosh(at)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

We extend analitically V and α and denote their extensions as $V(z)$ and $\alpha(z)$. Then

$$V(z) \times \alpha'(z) = (-\cosh(az)\cos(z), -\cosh(az)\sin(z), \sinh(az)).$$

Once integrated (1), the parametrization of the Björling surface is

$$\begin{aligned} X(u,v) = \Big(& \frac{a\cos(u)\sin(av)\cosh(au)\cosh(v)}{a^2+1} - \frac{a\sin(u)\cos(av)\sinh(au)\sinh(v)}{a^2+1} \\ & + \frac{\cos(u)\cos(av)\cosh(au)\sinh(v)}{a^2+1} + \frac{\sin(u)\sin(av)\sinh(au)\cosh(v)}{a^2+1} \\ & + \cos(u)\cosh(v), \\ & \frac{a\cos(u)\cos(av)\sinh(au)\sinh(v)}{a^2+1} + \frac{a\sin(u)\sin(av)\cosh(au)\cosh(v)}{a^2+1} \\ & + \frac{\sin(u)\cos(av)\cosh(au)\sinh(v)}{a^2+1} - \frac{\cos(u)\sin(av)\sinh(au)\cosh(v)}{a^2+1} \\ & + \sin(u)\cosh(v), \\ & - \frac{\sin(av)\sinh(au)}{a} \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We compute the Weierstrass data. From (1), $\phi(z) = \alpha'(z) + iV(z) \times \alpha'(z)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &= (-\sin(z) - i\cos(z)\cosh(az))dz \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{4}i\left(e^{-iz} + e^{iz}\right)\left(e^{-az} + e^{az}\right) - \frac{1}{2}i\left(e^{-iz} - e^{iz}\right) \right) dz \\ \phi_2 &= (\cos(z) - i\sin(z)\cosh(az))dz \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4}\left(e^{-iz} - e^{iz}\right)\left(e^{-az} + e^{az}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-iz} + e^{iz}\right) \right) dz \\ \phi_3 &= (i\sinh(az)dz = \frac{1}{2}i(e^{-az} - e^{az})dz \end{aligned}$$

and the Weierstrass representation is

$$\omega = -i\frac{(e^{az}+1)^2}{2e^{(a+i)z}}dz, \quad g(z) = -\frac{e^{iz}\,(e^{az}-1)}{e^{az}+1}.$$

Remark 3.1. (1) *Comparing with the bending helicoids in Euclidean space* [11]*, and for small values of* v*, our examples give embedded strips of maximal surfaces only* α *is not a full circle, that is, only when* α *is a piece of length less than* 2π *because* $V(t)$ *is not a periodic function. A way to get a periodic vector field* $V(t)$ *is replacing the function* $\varphi(t)$ *in* (2) *by a periodic function, as for example,* $\varphi(t) = \cos(t)$*. However we have no trigonometric polynomial in* (1) *to can be integrated.*

(2) *In* [11]*, Meeks and Weber obtained non-orientable surfaces by twisting one half the vector field* $V(t)$ *along the circle (also* [12]*). This is not possible to do it in the Lorentzian ambient because* $V(t) \in \mathbb{H}^2_+$ *and* $V(t)$ *can not reverse its initial position. This is expectable because any spacelike surface is orientable.*



FIGURE 1. A bending helicoid $X(u,v)$ where the core curve is a circle α with timelike axis. Here $a = 1$. Left: a strip of $X(u,v)$ along $\alpha(u) = X(u,0)$. Right: a view of the full surface.

3.2. **The axis is spacelike.** Let α be a spacelike circle with spacelike axis $(1,0,0)$. After a homothety, we parametrize the circle as $\alpha(t) = (0, \sinh(t), \cosh(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

This curve is a Frenet frame, namely, the normal and binormal vectors of α are

$$\mathbf{n}(t) = (0, \sinh(t), \cosh(t)), \qquad \mathbf{b}(t) = (1, 0, 0).$$

Now a unit timelike vector field $V(t)$ expresses as $V(t) = \cosh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{n}(t)+\sinh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{b}(t)$. The case $\varphi(t)$ is constant gives a rotational surface. Indeed, if $\varphi(t) = a \in \mathbb{R}$, a direct integration of the Bjöling surface by using (1) gives

$$X(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} v\cosh(a) \\ \sinh(a)\sinh(u)\sin(v) + \sinh(u)\cos(v) \\ \sinh(a)\cosh(u)\sin(v) + \cosh(u)\cos(v) \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, the uniparametric group of rotations with axis $(1, 0, 0)$ is

$$G_s = \left\{ \Psi^s(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh(\theta) & \sinh(\theta) \\ 0 & \sinh(\theta) & \cosh(\theta) \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\Psi^s(\theta) \cdot X(u,v) = X(u+\theta, v)$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, proving that $X(u,v)$ is rotational. This surface is called the hyperbolic catenoid. When $a = 0$, the parametrized surface appears in [8] as the catenoid of second kind.

We now consider bending helicoids when the core curve is the above circle and $\varphi(t) = at$, $a > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= \cosh(at)\mathbf{n}(t) + \sinh(at)\mathbf{b}(t) \\ &= (\sinh(at), \cosh(at)\sinh(t), \cosh(at)\cosh(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Then extending analitically, we obtain

$$V(z) \times \alpha'(z) = (-\cosh(az), -\sinh(z)\sinh(az), -\cosh(z)\sinh(az)).$$

The explicit integration of (1) with $u_0 = 0$ depends if $a \neq 1$ or $a = 1$. If $a \neq 1$, the parametrization of the surface is

$$\begin{aligned} X(u,v) \;=\; & \Big(\frac{\cosh(au)\sin(av)}{a}, \\ & -\frac{\sin(v)\cos(av)\sinh(u)\sinh(au)}{a^2-1} + \frac{a\sin(av)\cos(v)\sinh(u)\sinh(au)}{a^2-1} \\ & +\frac{a\sin(v)\cos(av)\cosh(u)\cosh(au)}{a^2-1} - \frac{\cos(v)\sin(av)\cosh(u)\cosh(au)}{a^2-1} \\ & +\sinh(u)\cos(v), \\ & \frac{a\cos(v)\sin(av)\cosh(u)\sinh(au)}{a^2-1} - \frac{\sin(v)\cos(av)\cosh(u)\sinh(au)}{a^2-1} \\ & +\frac{a\sin(v)\cos(av)\sinh(u)\cosh(au)}{a^2-1} - \frac{\cos(v)\sin(av)\sinh(u)\cosh(au)}{a^2-1} \\ & +\cos(v)\cosh(u)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

When $a = 1$, the surface is

$$X(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(u)\sin(v) \\ \sinh(u)\cos(v) + \frac{1}{2}\sin(v)\cos(v)(\sinh^2(u) + \cosh^2(u)) - \frac{v}{2} \\ \cosh(u)\cos(v)(1 + \sinh(u)\sin(v)) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

The holomorphic 1-forms ϕ_k are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &= -i\cosh(az)dz = -\frac{1}{2}ie^{-az}\left(e^{2az}+1\right)dz \\ \phi_2 &= \cosh(z) - i\sinh(z)\sinh(az)dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-z}+e^{z}\right) - \frac{1}{4}i\left(e^{z}-e^{-z}\right)\left(e^{az}-e^{-az}\right)dz \\ \phi_3 &= \sinh(z) - i\cosh(z)\sinh(az)dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{z}-e^{-z}\right) - \frac{1}{4}i\left(e^{z}+e^{-z}\right)\left(e^{az}-e^{-az}\right)dz \end{aligned}$$

and the Weierstrass data are

$$\omega = -\frac{\left(e^{(a+1)z} + ie^{az} + ie^{z} + 1\right)^2}{4e^{(a+1)z}}dz, \quad g(z) = \frac{ie^{(a+1)z} + e^{az} - e^{z} - i}{e^{(a+1)z} + ie^{az} + ie^{z} + 1}.$$

In contrast to the case of timelike axis, the expressions of ϕ_k are only given in terms of the exponential function e^z. This allows to do the change of variable $e^z \to z$ (and $dz \to dz/z$), obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &= -i\frac{z^{2a}+1}{2z^{a+1}}dz \\ \phi_2 &= \frac{-iz^{2a+2}+iz^{2a}+2z^{a+2}+2z^a+iz^2-i}{4z^{a+2}}dz \\ \phi_3 &= \frac{-iz^{2a+2}-iz^{2a}+2z^{a+2}-2z^a+iz^2+i}{4z^{a+2}}dz. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The Weierstrass representations is

$$\omega = -\frac{(z^{a+1}+iz^a+iz+1)^2}{4z^{a+1}}dz \qquad g(z) = i\frac{z^{a+1}-iz^a+iz-1}{z^{a+1}+iz^a+iz+1}.$$

The holomorphic 1-form ω is defined on $M = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. When $a = n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is clear from (6) that ϕ_k have not real periods if $n \neq 1$ and when $n = 1$, it is only ϕ_2 that has are real periods.

We end this section relating the maximal surfaces obtained in this subsection with minimal surfaces of Euclidean space. Denote by $M_{n,s}$ the bending helicoid for space-like axis obtained from (6) for $a = n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the holomorphic 1-forms of $M^\sharp_{n,s} \subset \mathbf{E}^3$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= \frac{z^{2n}+1}{2z^{n+1}}dz \\ \psi_2 &= \frac{z^{2n+2}-z^{2n}+2iz^{n+2}+2iz^n-z^2+1}{4z^{n+2}}dz \\ \psi_3 &= \frac{-iz^{2n+2}-iz^{2n}+2z^{n+2}-2z^n+iz^2+i}{4z^{n+2}}dz. \end{aligned}$$

The Weierstrass data of $M^\sharp_{n,s}$ are

$$\omega = -i\frac{(z^{n+1}+iz^n+iz+1)^2}{4z^{n+1}}dz, \qquad g(z) = \frac{z^{n+1}-iz^n+iz-1}{z^{n+1}+iz^n+iz+1}.$$

Then the 1-forms ψ_k are defined on $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and they have no real periods. It is immediate that $M^\sharp_{n,s}$ is complete and with total finite curvature $-4\pi(n+1)$. The surface $M^\sharp_{n,s}$ has two ends corresponding to $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$. We focus in the case

of least total curvature, that is, for $n = 1$, where the total curvature of $M^\sharp_{1,s}$ is -8π and

$$\psi = \left(\frac{z^2+1}{2z^2}, \frac{z^4+2iz^3-2z^2+2iz+1}{4z^3}, \frac{-iz^4+2z^3-2z+i}{4z^3} \right) dz.$$

$$\omega = -i\frac{(z^2+2iz+1)^2}{4z^2}dz \qquad g(z) = \frac{z^2-1}{z^2+2iz+1}.$$

The orders of the ends $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$ are $\nu_0 = \nu_\infty = 3$. This implies that the ends are asymptotic to an Enneper end, in particular, they are not embedded. Minimal surfaces with Enneper ends are well known ([2, 3, 5, 7, 15, 16]) and when the genus of the surface is 0, these surfaces were studied by Karcher in [7]. When $n = 1$, the surface $M^\sharp_{1,s}$ appears in the classification of the complete minimal surfaces with total curvature -8π done by López, see [9, Table 1].

Corollary 3.2. *For $n = 1$, the minimal surface $M^\sharp_{1,s} \subset \mathbf{E}^3$ corresponding to the bending helicoid $M_{1,s}$ of spacelike axis has with total curvature -8π and two Enneper ends of order 3.*



FIGURE 2. A bending helicoid $X(u, v)$ where the core curve is a circle α with spacelike axis. Here $a = 1$. Left: a strip of $X(u, v)$ along $\alpha(u) = X(u, 0)$. Right: a view of the full surface.

3.3. **The axis is lightlike.** The parametrization of a circle with lightlike axis is given in terms of a polynomial function on the parameter t of α. Indeed, we can assume that the axis L is spanned by $(1,0,1)$. After an homothety, a circle with axis L parametrizes as $\alpha(t)=(-1+t^2/2,t,t^2/2)$. Then $\alpha'(t)=(t,1,t)$ and $\alpha''(t)=(1,0,1)$. Now $\alpha''(t)$ is a lightlike vector for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Under this setting, there is not assigned a Frenet frame of α formed by an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}^3$. Instead, one can define $\mathbf{n}(t)=\alpha''(t)/2$ and $\mathbf{b}(t)$ the unique lightlike vector orthogonal to $\alpha'(t)$ such that $\langle \mathbf{n}(t),\mathbf{b}(t)\rangle=-1/2$ ([10]). In our case,

$$\mathbf{n}(t)=\left(\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{1}{2}\right),\qquad \mathbf{b}(t)=\left(\frac{t^2-1}{2},t,\frac{t^2+1}{2}\right). \tag{7}$$

Then $e_2(t)=\mathbf{n}(t)-\mathbf{b}(t)$ and $e_3(t)=\mathbf{n}(t)+\mathbf{b}(t)$, together $\alpha'(t)$ form an orthonormal basis $\{\alpha'(t),e_2(t),e_3(t)\}$ where $e_3(t)$ is a timelike vector, namely,

$$e_2(t)=\left(\frac{2-t^2}{2},-t,-\frac{t^2}{2}\right),\qquad e_3(t)=\left(\frac{t^2}{2},t,\frac{t^2+2}{2}\right).$$

The vector field $V(t)$ in the Björling problem writes as $V(t)=\sinh(\varphi(t))e_2(t)+\cosh(\varphi(t))e_3(t)$. There is an important difference with the above cases of timelike and spacelike axis when we take $\varphi(t)=at$. Now the integrand in (1) is formed by trigonometric functions and polynomials on the variable t which it does not allow to solve explicitly the integral of (1) by algebraic operations. In this subsection we only consider the case that φ is a constant function, namely, $\varphi(t)=a$, $a\in\mathbb{R}$. Then

$$V(z)\times\alpha'(z)=\left(\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{2}\left(\cosh(a)(z^2-2)-\sinh(a)z^2\right)\\ (\cosh(a)-\sinh(a))z\\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\cosh(a)z^2-\sinh(a)(z^2+2)\right)\end{array}\right).$$

The integration of (1) gives

$$X(z)=\mathrm{Re}\left(\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{6}iz^3(\cosh(a)-\sinh(a))-iz\cosh(a)+\frac{z^2}{2}-1\\ z+i\left(\frac{1}{2}z^2\cosh(a)-\frac{1}{2}z^2\sinh(a)\right)\\ \frac{z^2}{2}-\frac{1}{6}iz^3(\sinh(a)-\cosh(a))-iz\sinh(a)\end{array}\right).$$

Doing $z=u+iv$, we obtain

$$X(u,v)=\left(\begin{array}{l}(\sinh(a)-\cosh(a))(\frac{1}{2}u^2v-\frac{1}{6}v^3)+v\cosh(a)+\frac{u^2}{2}-\frac{v^2}{2}-1\\ u+(\sinh(a)-\cosh(a))uv\\ (\sinh(a)-\cosh(a))(\frac{1}{2}u^2v-\frac{1}{6}v^3)+v\sinh(a)+\frac{u^2}{2}-\frac{v^2}{2}\end{array}\right). \tag{8}$$

We prove that this surface is a rotational with respect to the axis L spanned by $(1,0,1)$. The uniparametric group of rigid motions with axis L is

$$G_l = \left\{ \Psi^l(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\frac{\theta^2}{2} & \theta & \frac{\theta^2}{2} \\ -\theta & 1 & \theta \\ -\frac{\theta^2}{2} & \theta & \frac{\theta^2}{2}+1 \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Each orbit of a point under $\Psi^l(\theta)$ meets the xz-plane, so a rotational surface with axis L parametrizes as $Z(u,s) = \Psi^l(u)\cdot\beta(s)$, where β is curve in the xz-plane. Consider $\beta(s) = (s,0,f(s))$. The zero mean curvature condition on $Z(u,s)$ writes as $(s-f)f'' = (f'^2-1)(f'-1)$. An integration of $H=0$ gives

$$c(s-f)^3 + s - f = 2s + b, \quad c > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{9}$$

If we do a change of variables in the generating curve β by

$$\beta(s) = (s,0,f(s)) = (h(v)+v, 0, h(v)-v),$$

then the solution of (9) is $h(v) = \lambda v^3 + \mu$, where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda > 0$. This surface is the Enneper's surface of second kind (see [8]). Take $\lambda = 8\mu + 4$ and

$$\mu = -\frac{1}{3}(\cosh(a) - 2\sinh(a))(\sinh(a) + \cosh(a)).$$

Then it straightforward to see that the surface $Z(u,v) = \Psi^l(u)\cdot\beta(v)$, where $\beta(v) = (v + \lambda v^3 + \mu, 0, -v + \lambda v^3 + \mu)$ is a maximal surface with $Z(u,-\frac{1}{2}) = \alpha(u)$ and the unit normal vector to the surface $Z(u,v)$ along α ($v = -\frac{1}{2}$) is $V(u)$. By uniqueness of solutions of the Björling problem, the surface $Z(u,v)$ must be $X(u,v)$, proving that the surface $X(u,v)$ is a rotational surface.

If we denote $M_{a,l}$ the surface whose parametrization is (8), the holomorphic 1-forms of $M_{a,l}$ are

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} z + \frac{1}{2}i\left((z^2-2)\cosh(a) - z^2\sinh(a)\right) \\ 1 + iz(\cosh(a) - \sinh(a)) \\ z + \frac{1}{2}i\left(z^2\cosh(a) - (z^2+2)\sinh(a)\right) \end{pmatrix} dz.$$

We now consider the minimal surface $M^\sharp_{a,l} \subset \mathbf{E}^3$. Then ψ_k have no real periods and its Weierstrass representation is

$$\omega = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\cosh\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)(z-2i) - \sinh\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)z\right)^2 dz,$$

$$g(z)=\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)(iz-2)-iz\cosh\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)(z-2i)-\sinh\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)z}.$$

This surface has only one end, namely, $z=\infty$, and the order of the pole at ∞ is 4. It is also clear that $M_{a,l}$ is a complete with total curvature -4π and by the classification of complete minimal surfaces of total curvature -4π ([14]), this surface is the Enneper's surface.

4. Björling surfaces based on a helix

We consider the Björling problem when the basis curve α is a spacelike helix of $\mathbb{L}^3$. We only consider that the axis of the helix is timelike or spacelike. By a *helix* in $\mathbb{L}^3$ we mean the orbit of a point under a uniparametric group of helicoidal motions when this orbit is not a straight line. Again, we will take $V(t)$ as a linear combination of the normal and binormal vectors formed by trigononometric polynomials. In Euclidean setting, these surfaces are called helicoidal helicoids [17].

4.1. **Timelike axis.** Up to a homothety, a spacelike helix with axis $(0,0,1)$ parametrizes as $\alpha(t)=(\cos(t),\sin(t),\lambda t)$, with $0<\lambda<1$. Although t is not the arc-length parameter of α, the normal vector $\mathbf{n}(t)$ and binormal vector $\mathbf{b}(t)$ are

$$\mathbf{n}(t)=(-\cos(t),-\sin(t),0),\qquad \mathbf{b}(t)=\frac{1}{\mu}(\lambda\sin(t),-\lambda\cos(t),-1),$$

with $\mu=\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$. A unit timelike vector field $V(t)$ expresses as $V(t)=\sinh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{n}(t)+\cosh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{b}(t)$. The case that φ is a constant function gives a helicoidal surface. Indeed, if $\varphi(t)=a\in\mathbb{R}$, then an integration of (1) gives

$$X(u,v)=\begin{pmatrix}-\mu\cosh(a)\cos(u)\sinh(v)+\lambda\sinh(a)\sin(u)\sinh(v)+\cos(u)\cosh(v)\\ \cosh(v)\sin(u)-\mu\cosh(a)\sin(u)\sinh(v)-\lambda\sinh(a)\cos(u)\sinh(v)\\ \lambda u-v\sinh(a)\end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$, consider the helicoidal motion of axis $(0,0,1)$

$$\Phi^t(\theta):(x,y,z)\longmapsto\begin{pmatrix}\cos(\theta)&-\sin(\theta)&0\\ \sin(\theta)&\cos(\theta)&0\\ 0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\lambda\theta\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is immediate that $\Phi^t(\theta)\cdot X(u,v)=X(u+\theta,v)$ for all $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$, proving that the surface is helicoidal

Suppose now that $\varphi(t) = at$, $a > 0$. Then

$$V(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\sin(t)\cosh(at) - \cos(t)\sinh(at) \\ -\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\cos(t)\cosh(at) - \sin(t)\sinh(at) \\ -\frac{1}{\mu}\cosh(at), \end{pmatrix}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By integrating (1) we obtain the expression of $X(u,v)$, namely,

(10)

$$\Big(\frac{\cosh(v)\left((a^2+1)\cos(u) - (\mu - a\lambda)\sin(u)\sinh(au)\sin(av) - (a\mu+\lambda)\cos(u)\cosh(au)\sin(av)\right)}{a^2+1}$$
$$+ \frac{\sinh(v)\cos(av)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\sin(u)\sinh(au) - (\mu - a\lambda)\cos(u)\cosh(au)\right)}{a^2+1},$$
$$\frac{\cosh(v)\left((a^2+1)\sin(u) - (a\mu+\lambda)\sin(u)\cosh(au)\sin(av) + (\mu - a\lambda)\cos(u)\sinh(au)\sin(av)\right)}{a^2+1}$$
$$- \frac{\sinh(v)\cos(av)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\cos(u)\sinh(au) + (\mu - a\lambda)\sin(u)\cosh(au)\right)}{a^2+1},$$
$$\lambda u - \frac{\sinh(au)\sin(av)}{a}\Big)$$

We now give the Weierstrass data of $X(u,v)$. The holomorphic 1-forms ϕ_k are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &= \left(i\mu\cos(z)\cosh(az) - \sin(z)(1 + i\lambda\sinh(az))\right)dz \\ \phi_2 &= \left(\cos(z)(1 + i\lambda\sinh(az)) + i\mu\sin(z)\cosh(az)\right)dz \\ \phi_3 &= \left(\lambda + i\sinh(az)\right)dz, \end{aligned}$$

and the Weierstrass data are

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}e^{-(a+i)z}\left((\lambda + i\mu)\,e^{2az} - 2ie^{az} + i\mu - \lambda\right)dz.$$

$$g(z) = \frac{e^{iz}\left(-2i\lambda e^{az} + e^{2az} - 1\right)}{(\mu - i\lambda)\,e^{2az} - 2e^{az} + \mu + i\lambda}.$$

4.2. **Spacelike axis.** Suppose that the axis L is $(1,0,0)$. Then there are two types of spacelike helices:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= (\lambda t, \cosh(t), \sinh(t)), \quad \lambda > 1, \text{(type I)} \\ \alpha(t) &= (\lambda t, \sinh(t), \cosh(t)), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \text{(type II)}. \end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 3. A helicoidal helicoid $X(u,v)$ where the core curve is a circle α with timelike axis. Here $a=1$, $\lambda=3/5$ and $\mu=4/5$. Left: a strip of $X(u,v)$ along $\alpha(u)=X(u,0)$. Right: a view of the full surface.

Because the computations are similar as in the case of timelike axis, we only give the parametrizations of the surface and its Weierstrass representation. Again we point out that the curve α is not parametrized by the arc-length. As in the case of timelike axis, if the function φ that defines the unit timelike vector field $V(t)$ is a constant function, the Björling surface is a helicoidal surface. We omit the details.

4.2.1. *Helix of type I.* The normal vector $\mathbf{n}(t)$ and binormal vector $\mathbf{b}(t)$ are

$$\mathbf{n}(t)=(0,\cosh(t),\sinh(t)),\qquad \mathbf{b}(t)=-\frac{1}{\mu}(1,\lambda\sinh(t),\lambda\cosh(t)),$$

where $\mu=\sqrt{\lambda^2-1}$. Because $\mathbf{n}(t)$ is spacelike and $\mathbf{b}(t)$ is timelike, the unit timelike vector field V writes as $V(t)=\sinh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{n}(t)+\cosh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{b}(t)$. Consider the case

that $\varphi(t) = at$. When $a \neq 1$, the Björling surface $X(u, v)$ is

(11)

$$\Big(\lambda u - \frac{\sinh(au)\sin(av)}{a},$$
$$\frac{\cosh(u)\left((a^2-1)\cos(v) + \cosh(au)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\cos(v)\sin(av) - (a\lambda+\mu)\sin(v)\cos(av)\right)\right)}{a^2-1}$$
$$+ \frac{\sinh(u)\sinh(au)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\sin(v)\cos(av) - (a\lambda+\mu)\cos(v)\sin(av)\right)}{a^2-1},$$
$$\frac{\sinh(u)\left((a^2-1)\cos(v) + \cosh(au)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\cos(v)\sin(av) - (a\lambda+\mu)\sin(v)\cos(av)\right)\right)}{a^2-1}$$
$$+ \frac{\cosh(u)\sinh(au)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\sin(v)\cos(av) - (a\lambda+\mu)\cos(v)\sin(av)\right)}{a^2-1}\Big)$$

and if $a = 1$, the Björling surface $X(u, v)$ is

(12)

$$\Big(\lambda u - \sinh(u)\sin(v),$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\left((\mu-\lambda)\left(\sinh^2(u) + \cosh^2(u)\right)\sin(v)\cos(v) + 2\cosh(u)\cos(v) + (\mu+\lambda)\,v\right),$$
$$\sinh(u)\cos(v)\left((\mu-\lambda)\cosh(u)\sin(v) + 1\right)\Big)$$

The holomorphic functions ϕ_k are

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &= (\lambda + i\sinh(az))\,dz \\
\phi_2 &= (\sinh(z) + i(\lambda\sinh(z)\sinh(az) - \mu\cosh(z)\cosh(az)))\,dz \\
\phi_3 &= (\cosh(z) + i(\lambda\cosh(z)\sinh(az) - \mu\sinh(z)\cosh(az)))\,dz.
\end{aligned}$$

We write the above trigonometric functions in terms of the exponential function and by the change of variable $e^z \to z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &= \frac{iz^{2a} + 2\lambda z^a - i}{2z^{a+1}}dz \\
\phi_2 &= \frac{i(\lambda-\mu)(z^{2a+2}+1) - i(\lambda+\mu)(z^{2a}+z^2) + 2z^{a+2} - 2z^a}{4z^{a+2}}dz \\
\phi_3 &= \frac{i(\lambda-\mu)(z^{2a+2}-1) + i(\lambda+\mu)(z^{2a}-z^2) + 2z^{a+2} + 2z^a}{4z^{a+2}}dz
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $a = n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it is clear that if $n \neq 1$, the 1-forms ϕ_k have no periods and if $n = 1$, then only ϕ_2 has real periods. The Weierstrass data are

$$\omega = \frac{(\lambda-\mu)(z^{2n+2}+1) - (\mu+\lambda)\,(z^{2n}+z^2) + 2i(z^{n+1} - z^{n+2} + z^n - z) + 4\lambda z^{n+1}}{4z^{n+2}} dz.$$

$$g(z) = \frac{-(\mu+\lambda)\,(z^{2n}-z^2) + (\mu-\lambda)\,(z^{2n+2}-1) + 2i(z^{n+2}+z^n)}{-i\,(\mu+\lambda)\,(z^{2n}+z^2) - i\,(\mu-\lambda)\,(z^{2n+2}+1) + 4i\lambda z^{n+1} - 2(z^{2n+1} - z^{n+2} + z^n - z)}.$$

4.2.2. *Helix of type II.* Now the normal vector $\mathbf{n}(t)$ and binormal vector $\mathbf{b}(t)$ are given by

$$\mathbf{n}(t) = (0, \sinh(t), \cosh(t)), \qquad \mathbf{b}(t) = \frac{1}{\mu}(1, -\lambda\cosh(t), -\lambda\sinh(t)),$$

where $\mu = \sqrt{\lambda^2+1}$. A unit timelike vector field $V(t)$ orthogonal to α writes as $V(t) = \cosh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{n}(t) + \sinh(\varphi(t))\mathbf{b}(t)$. Consider the case that $\varphi(t) = at$. When $a \neq 1$, the Björling surface $X(u,v)$ is

(13)

$$\Big(\frac{\cosh(au)\sin(av)}{a} + \lambda u,$$
$$\frac{\sinh(u)\left((a^2-1)\cos(v) + \sinh(au)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\cos(v)\sin(av) - (a\lambda+\mu)\sin(v)\cos(av)\right)\right)}{a^2-1}$$
$$+ \frac{\cosh(u)\cosh(au)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\sin(v)\cos(av) - (a\lambda+\mu)\cos(v)\sin(av)\right)}{a^2-1},$$
$$\frac{\cosh(u)\left((a^2-1)\cos(v) + \sinh(au)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\cos(v)\sin(av) - (a\lambda+\mu)\sin(v)\cos(av)\right)\right)}{a^2-1}$$
$$+ \frac{\sinh(u)\cosh(au)\left((a\mu+\lambda)\sin(v)\cos(av) - (a\lambda+\mu)\cos(v)\sin(av)\right)}{a^2-1}\Big)$$

and if $a = 1$, the surface is

(14)

$$\Big(\lambda u + \cosh(u)\sin(v),$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\left((\mu-\lambda)\left(\sinh^2(u) + \cosh^2(u)\right)\sin(v)\cos(v) + 2\sinh(u)\cos(v) - (\mu+\lambda)\,v\right),$$
$$\cosh(u)\cos(v)\left((\mu-\lambda)\sinh(u)\sin(v) + 1\right).\Big)$$

The holomorphic functions ϕ_k are

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 &= (\lambda - i\cosh(az))\,dz\\ \phi_2 &= (\cosh(z) + i(\lambda\cosh(z)\cosh(az) - \mu\sinh(z)\sinh(az)))\,dz\\ \phi_3 &= (\sinh(z) + i(\lambda\sinh(z)\cosh(az) - \mu\cosh(z)\sinh(az)))\,dz.\end{aligned}$$

Again we do the change of variables $e^{iz} \to z$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 &= \frac{-iz^{2a} + 2\lambda z^a - i}{2z^{a+1}}dz\\ \phi_2 &= \frac{i(\lambda-\mu)(z^{2a+2}+1) + i(\lambda+\mu)(z^{2a}+z^2) + 2z^{a+2} + 2z^a}{4z^{a+2}}dz\\ \phi_3 &= \frac{i(\lambda-\mu)(z^{2a+2}-1) - i(\lambda+\mu)(z^{2a}-z^2) + 2z^{a+2} - 2z^a}{4z^{a+2}}dz.\end{aligned}$$

Consider $a = n \in \mathbb{N}$. Again, the holomorphic 1-forms ϕ_k have no real periods if $n \neq 1$. When $n = 1$, then only ϕ_2 has real periods. The Weierstrass data are

$$\omega = \frac{(\lambda-\mu)\,(z^{2n+2}+1) + (\mu+\lambda)\,(z^{2n}+z^2) + 4\lambda z^{n+1} - 2i(z^{n+2}+z^{2n+1}+z^n+z)}{4z^{n+2}}dz,$$

$$g(z) = \frac{i\,(\mu+\lambda)\,(z^{2n}-z^2) + i\,(\mu-\lambda)\,(z^{2n+2}-1) - 2(z^{n+2}-z^n)}{(\mu-\lambda)\,(z^{2n+2}+1) - (\mu+\lambda)\,(z^{2n}+z^2) - 4\lambda z^{n+1} + 2i(z^{n+2}+z^{2n+1}+z^n+z)}.$$

References

[1] Alías, L., Chaves, R.M.B., Mira, P.: Björling problem for maximal surfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski space. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 134, (2003), 289–316.

[2] Chen, C.C., Gackstatter, F.: Elliptische und hyperelliptische Funktionen und vollständige Minimalflächen vom Enneperschen Typ. Math. Ann. 259 (1982), 359–369.

[3] Do Espirito Santo, N.: Complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with type Enneper end. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 44 (1994), 525–557.

[4] Estudillo, F.J.M., Romero, A.: Generalized maximal surfaces in Lorentz-minkowski space $\mathbb{L}^3$. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 111 (1992), 515–524.

[5] Fujimori, S., Shoda, T.: Minimal surfaces with two ends which have the least total absolute curvature. Pacific J. Math. 282 (2016),107–144.

[6] Gray, A., Abbena, E., Salamon, S.: Modern Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces with Mathematica®. Third edition. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.

[7] Karcher, K.: Construction of minimal surfaces. Surveys in Geometry, University of Tokyo, 1989.



FIGURE 4. A helicoidal helicoid $X(u, v)$ where the core curve is a helix α with spacelike axis. Left: the helix α is of type I, with $a = 1$, $\lambda = 2$ and $\mu = \sqrt{3}$. Right: the helix α is of type II, with $a = 1$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = \sqrt{2}$.

[8] Kobayashi, O.: Maximal surfaces in the 3-dimensional Minkowski Space L^3. Tokyo J. Math. 6 (1983), 297–309.
[9] López, F. J.: The classification of complete minimal surfaces with total curvature greater than -12π. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 334 (1992), 49–74.
[10] López, R.: Differential geometry of curves and surfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski space. Int. Electron. J. Geom. 7 (2014), 44–107.
[11] Meeks III, W.H., Weber, M.: Bending the helicoid. Math. Ann. 339 (2007), 783–798.
[12] Mira, P.: Complete minimal Möbius strips in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the Björling problem. J. Geom. Phys. 56 (2006), 1506–1515.
[13] O'Neill, B., Semi-Riemannian Geometry. With applications to relativity. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 103. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1983.
[14] Osserman, R.: A Survey of Minimal Surfaces, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1989.
[15] Sato, K.: Constructions of higher genus minimal surfaces with one end and finite total curvature. Tôhoku Math. J., 48 (1996), 229–246.
[16] Thayer, E.: Higher-genus Chen-Gackstatter surfaces and the Weierstrass representation for surfaces of infinite genus. Experiment. Math. 4 (1995), 19–39.
[17] Weber, M.: Minimal Surfaces. Bloomington's Virtual Minimal Surface Museum. http://www.indiana.edu/ minimal/

E-mail address: rcamino@ugr.es

Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Instituto de Matemáticas (IEMath-GR), Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

E-mail address: seher.Kaya@ankara.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey